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**Abstract:**

This essay uses “the fall of Balaclava” in Marlon James’ *A Brief History of Seven Killings* as a key to interpreting postcolonial political violence in Jamaica. Fictionally renamed for Edward Seaga’s 1963 demolition of Jamaica’s Back O’Wall squatter camp and all that followed, “the fall of Balaclava” demonstrates how the politicization of urban renewal projects led to an artificial geographic divide between the Jamaica Labour Party (JLP) and the People's National Party (PNP) in downtown Kingston. Building off Sheri-Marie Harrison’s article “Global Sisyphus: Rereading the Jamaican 1960s through *A Brief History of Seven Killings*,” I argue that the political manipulation of local geography in the 60s not only helped lay the physical groundwork for a JLP versus PNP war, but, more fundamentally, naturalized a sense of political rivalry and violence in the post-1963 generation’s perception of community, land, and positionality in society. In *A* *Brief History*, Bam-Bam, a young JLP recruit who never experienced the co-existence of political diversity in Balaclava, cannot conceive of any tangible existence outside of a JLP/PNP framework. This looks drastically different from the Dons and Enforcers whose experiences in Balaclava allow them to both see the artificiality of the current situation and simultaneously promote or exploit it.

Marlon James’ *A Brief History of Seven Killings* takes place on five different days between 1976 and 1991. Moving from the attempted assassination of Bob Marley (“The Singer”) to international Jamaican drug smuggling, the novel uses its seventy-two characters and thirty narrators to explore the growth of political violence in Jamaica during the late 20th century. While most of the narrative is set between the 1970s and 90s, I examine *A Brief History* in accordance with Sheri-Marie Harrison’s reading of the novel’s implicit response to the 1960s. Collectively, no more than a few of the book’s seven hundred pages explicitly address this era, but the events that occur in it implicitly shape the lives of many characters and their society as a whole. But the infamous “fall of Balaclava” – a renaming of the 1963 demolition of the Back O’Wall squatter camp – can be read as a principal catalyst for much of the inter-gang political violence depicted throughout the novel. This essay builds of Harrison’s article “Global Sisyphus: Rereading the Jamaican 1960s through *A Brief History of Seven Killings*” and her treatment of the politicization of urban renewal projects. I argue that the political manipulation of local geography in the 1960s not only helped lay the physical groundwork for a Jamaica Labour Party (JLP) versus People's National Party (PNP) war, but, more fundamentally, naturalized a sense of political rivalry and violence in the post-1963 generation’s perception of community, land, and positionality in society.

After a brief summary of urbanist developments in Jamaica during the 1960s, I locate Balaclava’s connection to Back O’Wall in *A Brief History* via the testimony of characters such as Papa-Lo and Tristan Phillips. Having experienced downtown Kingston before and after “the politician come,” these characters can relate to the city in importantly varied ways. I then compare their experiences with Bam-Bam, a young “Rudie” institutionalized under the JLP after both his parents are killed by PNP-affiliated gang members. By examining his encounter with the people at National Heroes Park, I show how he is structurally unable to comprehend their lack of Green and Orange (the respective colors of the JLP and PNP), further revealing his politicized socialization. While Bam-Bam is fundamentally stuck in an absolutist cycle of violence and political rivalry, the JLP Dons and Enforcers in charge of him – such as Papa-Lo and Josey Wales – know peaceful models of political co-existence via Balaclava. Nevertheless, these characters chose to exploit the current model for power. By the time characters such as Papa-Lo want change, the politicization of downtown Kingston has taken firm hold, leaving his attempts ineffective.

*The Death of Back O’Wall and*

*Birth of Tivoli Gardens*

Dating at least to the mid-1930s, Back O’Wall was one of Jamaica’s oldest and most historic squatter camps (or “shantytowns”[[1]](#endnote-1)) located on the edge of tenements in West Kingston.[[2]](#endnote-2) By the 1960s, it had grown to be one of the largest encampments – alongside Trench Town and Dung Hill – hosting between fifteen-hundred and two-thousand people.[[3]](#endnote-3) Squatters resided in the camps for various reasons, including economic hardship, escape from the police, and societal rebellion. The latter of these is most identifiable with the congregation of Rastafarians at Back O’Wall and Trench Town.[[4]](#endnote-4) For many Rastafarians, the camps presented an opportunity for them to establish their own “entirely different set of values” and denounce Jamaica’s societal structure.[[5]](#endnote-5) Notably this developed into a lively community where Rastafarian organized entertainment such as dances and concerts could be held.[[6]](#endnote-6) As Robbie Shilliam puts it, by the 1960s it had become a “stronghold of the Rastafari faith,”[[7]](#endnote-7) and gained much sympathy from other squatters and individuals in the lower class.[[8]](#endnote-8)

Alongside the anti-establishment Rastafarians, the camp served as “an entry point into Kingston for rural migrants seeking a better life in the city.”[[9]](#endnote-9) Increased rural population pressures in the 1950s left sixty-two percent of men and thirty-two percent of women in rural Jamaica in search of a job.[[10]](#endnote-10) Under the false pretense that Kingston contained higher opportunities for “non-manual jobs,” many travelled there only to end up in Back O’Wall.[[11]](#endnote-11) This combination of migrants, lower class individuals, and societal disrupters ultimately made Back O’Wall a politically and socially diverse community. Though PNP supporters and Rastafarians would come to make up the largest majority in the camp,[[12]](#endnote-12) no single political party uniformly controlled it; political ideologies could co-exist alongside each other without mass violence erupting.[[13]](#endnote-13) In other words, while local geography in downtown Kingston could be statistically examined to discover political trends, the land itself was not inherently conflated with the JLP or PNP parties.

For all of Back O’Wall’s good, its living conditions were notably far from ideal and many suffered greatly. Hartley Neita famously went through the camp in 1961 and reported seeing “families [that] slept on pieces of cardboard covered with scraps of cloth” while “the combination of the rotting wood, mud, sour water and faeces and scraps of cooked food waste, [made] a nauseous, stomach-turning smell.”[[14]](#endnote-14) Additionally, Clarke notes from his 1961 visit that “Back o’ Wall […] ha[d] no latrines and no piped water […] [and was] an area known for typhoid.”[[15]](#endnote-15) The first request asked of him by squatters, he reports, was often “Give us water.”[[16]](#endnote-16) Since squatters couldn’t legally acquire the land they squatted on till after “twelve years of continuous residence” on unfenced private land or sixty years for “Crown land,” there was little to no pressure for landowners to constructively engage with them.[[17]](#endnote-17) Moreover, thinking aid would increase migration to an already overpopulated area or legitimize squatting, the Government regularly refused to provide public services.[[18]](#endnote-18)

In addition to its inadequate health conditions, Back O’Wall was labeled “the most notorious criminal den of the country” by JLP politician Edward Seaga. It was said that criminals went to Back O’Wall to avoid law enforcement and, by the 1960s, the grouping of outlaws supposedly made even “the police […] afraid to enter.”[[19]](#endnote-19) Of course, this label is a bit more subjective than the camp’s history of sickness and health crises. Prior to Jamaica’s independence, gangs often formed in response to colonial inequality and discrimination.[[20]](#endnote-20) As Hutton notes, “[gang members before the early 1960s] often spoke of the persistent rituals of police violence, torture, insults, persecution and general harassment directed at them, and suggested that forming gangs was, in part, the joining of forces to respond to colonial police excesses.”[[21]](#endnote-21) This is not to say community directed violence or “lawlessness” did not occur in Back O’Wall – but without erasing any real history of violence, the admission that “the police were afraid to enter” can also be received as a moment of colonial resistance: a politically diverse community of migrants, social disrupters, and economically impoverished individuals reputationally coming together to keep agents of injustice out of their community. Nevertheless, from his platform, Seaga condemned Back O’Wall and its “criminality” as “the Cancer of West Kingston,”[[22]](#endnote-22) effacing, in Hutton words, any “legacy of colonial agency.”[[23]](#endnote-23)

By the early 1960s, serious plans to rebuild the area of Back O’Wall and other squatter camps began to take form. Overseen by Seaga, Back O’Wall was to be demolished and replaced with single and multi-story housing that would be known as Tivoli Gardens.[[24]](#endnote-24) Considering the camps visible need for repair and modernization, the urban renewal project proposed many beneficial and needed improvements to the area, including running water, bathrooms, privacy, and more. Backed with a financial contribution from the United States,[[25]](#endnote-25) Back O’Wall’s demolition began in 1963 with plans to build some 800 new units in its stead.[[26]](#endnote-26) However, the demolition was notably not peaceful as “bulldozers and flames” violently ate the historic camp, disrupting the lives of many.[[27]](#endnote-27) This particularly was true for the Rastafarian section of the camp who had continued to grow there since the late 50s.[[28]](#endnote-28) Until the new units were completed, squatters would need to find alternate areas to live in, which often meant other squatter camps; holding onto early promises that housing in Tivoli Gardens would be made available for them,[[29]](#endnote-29) squatters of Back O’Wall reluctantly hoped they would have new accommodations in the future.

Unfortunately, by Tivoli Gardens’ completion in the mid-1960s, it became clear that many of the squatters would not, and were never planned to be, rehoused by the JLP government.[[30]](#endnote-30) In an effort to secure power, Seaga and other JLP officials politicized their rehousing commitments by selecting individuals based on their loyalty to the JLP rather than their relationship to Back O’Wall. As Clarke notes, many of those selected even came from other constituencies.[[31]](#endnote-31) Alongside being given secure housing, these individuals were also given “jobs with which to pay for [their new accommodations],”[[32]](#endnote-32) benefiting newly formed JLP “territories” and their members. As mentioned, since the largest majority of squatters in Back O’Wall were PNP-affiliated or Rastafarian, a large number of the original fifteen-hundred to two thousand individuals at the camp were consequently left unhoused.

In light of this, PNP and Rastafarian squatters from Back O’Wall felt completely betrayed by the JLP government’s choices and false promises. For them, “Tivoli Gardens [became] a symbol of injustice, enmity and hurt that must be resisted.”[[33]](#endnote-33) Fueled by the injustice, opposing gangs who had previously co-existed peacefully – initiating violence primarily against the colonial symbol of injustice rather than each other – began to align more strongly with their respected parties and participate in inter-gang violence. In 1966, a confrontation between the new JLP tenants and the PNP supporters who’d been snubbed housing took place, marking the beginning of a new era of violence.[[34]](#endnote-34) As I have demonstrated, the catalyst for such a shift in violence can be traced back to the artificial politicization of urban renewal. This leaves Hutton to label the newly formed postcolonial inter-gang warfare as, in its essence, an expression of political warfare.[[35]](#endnote-35)

In the years that followed, Seaga’s model of politicized urban renewal became a widespread practice for both PNP and JLP politicians.[[36]](#endnote-36) More squatter camps were destroyed, and often violently so. On August 12th, 1966, the Foreshore Road shantytown was infamously demolished under a scene of smoke and horror. Squatters who hadn’t left before the 12th were forced to rush into fire and destruction, hoping they could save their belongings before their shacks were destroyed.[[37]](#endnote-37) Alongside this, Trench Town was allocated some 450 units and turned into a politically charged housing development.[[38]](#endnote-38) With the spread of violence, these newly developed territories became garrisoned for inter-gang warfare. Tivoli Gardens remained the principal garrison for the JLP, and the PNP developed lead-garrisons under Arnette Gardens and Matthews Lane. Any land politicians hadn’t publicly politicized were fought over by each parties growing gangs. The previous model of political diversity and co-existence seen in Back O’Wall was no more, and “turf politics”[[39]](#endnote-39) permeated Jamaica’s communities and elections.

By the 1967 election, JLP and PNP territories had been substantially carved out in the make-up of downtown Kingston. Battles between the politicized territories became extreme. Political violence leading up to the election in the previous year had increased so much – alongside the anger stirred after Foreshore Road and Back O’Wall – the government was forced to declare a state of emergency.[[40]](#endnote-40) Nevertheless, this declaration actualized into nothing constructive as the evolving rivalry only helped politicians win elections and hold onto power. By the 70s and 80s, the rivalry had become naturalized into the structure of downtown Kingston’s society, setting the scene for the events of *A Brief History of Seven Killings.*

*“Balaclava,” “Copenhagen City,” and*

*Political Violence in* A Brief History

Critics such as Sheri-Marie Harrison have already pointed out the connection between the “Fall of Balaclava” in *A Brief History* and the politized urban renewal of the 1960s. In her essay “Global Sisyphus: Rereading the Jamaican 1960s through *A Brief History of Seven Killings*,” she writes, “[though] urban renewal is not directly represented in James’s novel […] it is described retrospectively in dialogue as a formative event in the shaping of what would become Kingston’s future.”[[41]](#endnote-41) By looking at characters such as Papa-Lo and Tristan Phillips, I reaffirm Harrison’s insights on Balaclava and its relationship to Back O’Wall (along with other major squatter camps). My aim is to show how the aforementioned characters have uniquely experienced the peaceful co-existence of political parties before Balaclava fell and the absolutist politicization of space after “the politician come.”

Drawing on the government’s historic refusal to develop Back O’Wall and other squatter camps in the 1950s, Balaclava is described as “a piece of shit that make you beg for the richness of a tenement yard.”[[42]](#endnote-42) With only two standpipes, two bathrooms, no toilets, nor any running water,[[43]](#endnote-43) the camp is extremely impoverished. Reminiscent of Clarke’s notes Back O’Wall’s health conditions, people might join Balaclava to “dodge murder, robbery and rape only to get killed by a cup of water.”[[44]](#endnote-44) While it remains in need, amenities in Uptown are notably expanded and modernized. This included a sewage treatment plant positioned right outside of Balaclava so Uptown could “flush they shit straight down to we.”[[45]](#endnote-45) Alongside this, the Garbagelands and “the largest public cemetery in the West Indies,” develop around the camp, showing the general lack of societal care and attention given to them.

Despite its poor state, Balaclava is accompanied by a spirit of non-violence similarly witnessed in the historic Back O’Wall. Tristan Phillips – a Rastafarian character who runs with the drug syndicate known as the Ranking Dons in the 80s and early 90s – says, “Balaclava never did so bad depending on where you lived or who you live with. It’s not like every day some baby dead or some people get their face eaten off by rats or anything.”[[46]](#endnote-46) While “things wasn’t good,” he says, “Wasn’t good at all,” there was still a real sense of peace. In Balaclava, Phillips even remembers mornings where he could “just [go] out and [lay] down in the grass, just pure green grass, and watch hummingbird and butterfly dance over [him].”[[47]](#endnote-47) With its demolition, this serenity is replaced by the political violence of Copenhagen City.

Just as with the squatter camps at Back O’Wall and Foreshore Road, Balaclava is razed by bulldozers so the JLP-aligned Copenhagen City housing settlement can go up in its place (a clear invocation to Tivoli Gardens). Phillips, as a Rastafarian living in the camp, discusses witnessing the violent destruction first hand. Speaking with reporter Alex Pierce, Phillips tells how “[a] big iron jaw just chomp ’pon me wall and rip it away […] and chomp down dirt in the ground, me bed, me stool and part of the roof me build with me own hand.”[[48]](#endnote-48) In light of the Foreshore Road demolition, this scene seemingly represents the infamous moment in which squatters tried to desperately remove their possessions before they were bulldozed over. Thus Phillips becomes a representative of these historic victims.

Simultaneously, the significance of Phillip’s Rastafarianism cannot be ignored in relation to these events. Being driven out of Balaclava by JLP bulldozers invokes the destruction of Rastafarian strongholds at both Back O’Wall and Trench Town. Just as Rastafarians were removed from Back O’Wall without a real chance of being rehoused, Phillips is forced out while JLP loyalists are given the rights to Copenhagen City. In hindsight, the politicized intent behind his forced removal is not lost on him. He asks rhetorically, “You really think the JLP was going help the Rasta part or the PNP of Balaclava?”[[49]](#endnote-49)

Another key witness to the transformation of Balaclava is Papa-Lo, the first Don of Copenhagen City. Papa-Lo is a JLP supporter who seizes power in downtown Kingston by carrying out orders from JLP politicians. To help ensure PNP-affiliates and Rastafarians stayed out of Copenhagen City, Papa-Lo is directed to use violence against them at his disposal. When the politicians came with such a directive, Papa-Lo deflects by saying he followed through only because he assumed good would come along with it; a claim that is probably true in part, but questionable considering how aggressively he acts. He commits mass violence against those associated with the PNP in the name of the JLP, further cementing a reality of violence into Kingston’s societal structure, land, and communities. Papa-Lo ultimately solidifies an image as the “baddest” man in Copenhagen City that he is proud of for some time.

In light of his violent tendencies, Papa-Lo can reflect on societal differences before and after the politicization of territory in downtown Kingston. “Before [Copenhagen City],” he says, “man from Denham Town and man from Jungle didn’t really like each other, but they fight each other on the football field and the cricket pitch and even when two boy get rowdy and a mouth get punched bloody, there was no war or rumour of war.”[[50]](#endnote-50) Bringing to mind Hutton’s comments on the transformation of gang violence in the 60s, Papa-Lo’s pre-Copenhagen City experiences demonstrate Jamaica’s early lack of actualized violence between gangs. As shown, this model is obliterated when “the politician come.” He adds, “[The politicians] carve up Kingston and never ask we what slice we want. So every land that hit midway on the boundary, Rema, Jungle, Rose Town, Lizard Town, they leave it to we to fight over.”[[51]](#endnote-51) As Papa-Lo chooses to “fight hard” for this unclaimed territory on behalf of the JLP, he simultaneously raises up a generation of JLP Enforcers and recruits with him (while Shotta Sherrif, the PNP Don of The Eight Lanes, does the same). Consequentially, Papa-Lo helps ensure the naturalization of “turf politics” into Jamaican society. By the 1970s, the commitment to violence is complete and younger individuals in downtown Kingston are left without any peaceful models of community engagement to be looked at.

*“I don’t know people who don’t pick side”:*

*Bam-Bam and 1976 Kingston*

Characters such as Papa-Lo and Tristan Phillips have a privileged position compared to the generation they raise up. Those historically connected to Balaclava can inevitably see the artificiality behind “turf politics” – it is not the only way communities can develop in downtown Kingston. By refusing to denounce the geographic manipulation and instead let it overtake Kingston’s society, young “rudies” in Copenhagen City, The Eight Lanes, and other politically drawn territories are subjected to a reality inherently built around violence. By looking at the journey of Bam-Bam, I show the different ways his political socialization structurally restricts him for comprehending a vision of life outside the JLP/PNP framework.

Bam-Bam, the first narrator of the 1976 sections in *A Brief History*, is a fourteen year old boy who escaped to Copenhagen City after witnessing the brutal murder of his parents at the age of ten (in 1971). While fleeing his parent’s murder scene, he accidentally runs into Papa-Lo, Josey Wales, and other JLP Enforcers. Once they realize his parents were killed by PNP-gang members, they immediately ask, “You want to kill [the PNP] back?”[[52]](#endnote-52) Bam-Bam says yes and is taken in as a new recruit for the JLP-affiliated gang. That same year, he shoots a gun for the first time, only to be given his own as a birthday gift from Papa-Lo two years later on his twelfth birthday.[[53]](#endnote-53)

The character who teaches Bam-Bam how to “use gun to shot up a PNP boy if they try anything”[[54]](#endnote-54) is Josey Wales, a top JLP Enforcer. Wales tells Bam-Bam he needs to know how to handle a gun because he will soon be required to “defend Copenhagen City.”[[55]](#endnote-55) Under Wales’s guidance, Bam-Bam is quite literally taught how to kill. At one point, Wales takes him to a tied up Rema boy and orders Bam-Bam to kill him. At this time, Rema notably leaned towards associations with JLP, though it often shifted depending on which political party could win it over.[[56]](#endnote-56) So this boy is not necessarily JLP, and possibly even has PNP sympathies. Nevertheless, Bam-Bam does not know him and quickly shoots him in the head. After, Bam-Bam admits that it was not only “nothing,” but that he “did want to kill [him] […] “did want to more than anything.”[[57]](#endnote-57) At the same time, he conspicuously adds that he’s unsure why he has such a strong desire to kill. I understand this as a reference to his societal conditioning under the JLP/PNP framework.[[58]](#endnote-58) Bam-Bam’s reality from a young age is bound to politically-motivated violence. To say Bam-Bam has been desensitized to murder is not strong enough: murder is, in its essence, something that “naturally” occurs or even “must occur” for him since his reality itself is bound to it. This is why he adds that the killing doesn’t make him feel good or bad after doing it – he desired to do it, but it was still “nothing.”[[59]](#endnote-59) As I have attempted to show, this binding of violence with reality is ultimately strengthened or even rooted in the politicization of urban renewal and the erasure of previous societal models rooted around political co-existence.

Alongside Bam-Bam’s indoctrination to JLP versus PNP violence, he also experiences real, politically-motivated injustice rooted in the politicized urbanism. While the PNP is in government, Bam-Bam learns that areas associated with the JLP will not be allocated resources to develop. After walking down an abandoned street with Josey Wales, Bam-Bam notes how the street itself has “no house but mound of sand and block, for bigger tenement yard that government not going to build because we is JLP.”[[60]](#endnote-60) But Bam-Bam did not experience the 1960s or Balaclava; just as PNP-affiliates and Rastafarians in that era perceived the JLP as a symbol of injustice, the PNP have ultimately followed suit and become the same for Bam-Bam. Without knowing any models of political co-existence, the natural question for Bam-Bam thus becomes how to get JLP back in power so JLP areas can be developed – politicized geography is simply a given reality.

Interestingly, another issue that reenforces Bam-Bam’s views is his limited and one-sided understanding of JLP political history. What Bam-Bam does know about the 1960s is propagandized with JLP sensitivities. He says, “Jamaica Labour Party rule the country in the sixties but the People’s National Party tell the country that better must come and win the election in 1972. Now JLP want the country back and there’s no word named can’t, there’s no word named no.”[[61]](#endnote-61) In other words, the sixties implicitly mark an injustice against the JLP for Bam-Bam. They are the victims of lost power given to the PNP. This is radically different from the perceptions Papa-Lo and Phillips testify to in relation to Balaclava. Nevertheless, Papa-Lo is quiet on such perspectives when it comes to his recruits and still sends Bam-Bam to houses before the 1976 election in order to “remind [them] how to vote.”[[62]](#endnote-62)

The culmination of Bam-Bam’s politicized socialization is shown at National Heroes Park during the Smile Jamaica Peace concert. Having attempted to assassinate “The Singer” with Josey Wales and others just a few days before, Bam-Bam stumbles into the Park where “all of Jamaica” is gathering. Among them he looks for “for the police, for JLP gunman, [and] for PNP gunman,” but such a framework is not useful – the crowd has become one, revealing an image of co-existence that is new (and incomprehensible) for him.[[63]](#endnote-63) “These people,” he says, “are not JLP or PNP or any other P, they’re just man and woman and brother and sister and cousin and mother and bredren and sistren and sufferah.”[[64]](#endnote-64) As they move around him, Bam-Bam feels invisible: “they just don’t see me at all,” he says.[[65]](#endnote-65) Being no one’s political enemy nor on anyone’s radar the same way he would be in JLP Kingston, Bam-Bam does not know how to constructively respond.

While Bam-Bam is invisible to others, they too are unrecognizable to him. He says, “I don’t know these people. […] I don’t know people who don’t pick side. […] I don’t know what they look like, what run in their head before they say something, people who never wear Jamaica Labour Party green or People’s National Party orange.”[[66]](#endnote-66) The people gathered for the concert represent an existence outside the JLP/PNP framework that structurally defines Bam-Bam’s reality. He is physically unable to comprehend them. In such a liminal state, he thus can only respond by exoticizing them. He wonders what is going on in their heads, as if it is categorically different from anything he could be thinking about (which it is). Only a glimpse of peaceful co-existence in the unity of the crowd proves too much for him.

The tragedy of Bam-Bam, compared to the Dons and Enforcers, is that any possibility of peace and political co-existence is completely restricted to him whereas the latter as other experiences. Moreover, Bam-Bam is forced to participate in violence while many of the others volitionally participate.

But, interestingly, the character arguably most exploitative of Bam-Bam, the politicians, and the political system, seemingly also has been deeply impacted by politicized space is Josey Wales. Wales is one of the few pre-Balaclava figures who explicitly did not appropriate its fall in search of power (as Papa-Lo did). I purpose that, in essence, his experiences most juxtapose those of Papa-Lo and is arc can seen as developing oppositely.

As Papa-Lo notes, Josey Wales entered the era of Copenhagen City without any inclination for violence or hope for territorial control; instead, he began that year training to become a locksmith.[[67]](#endnote-67) Walking home from work on a Sunday via a street he thought had “never declared colours before,” Josey Wales is tragically shot five times. “[On] The Friday before,” Papa-Lo says, “politician come through saying close your mouth and fire your gun.” After lying in the dirty water, he is taken to the clinic by a man passing on his bike, ultimately saving his life. But, three week later, “A different man come out of that clinic.” Thus Josey Wales’s desire for a peaceful life is uprooted out of hatred.

Josey Wales tell the white man that he not an ist for anybody, he just smarter than all of them and he will do what they want if they leave him alone in Miami.

As Josey Wales’s arc develops more violently, Papa-Lo ironically becomes more pacifist, being influenced by the Rastafarianism of “The Singer.” Tired of the Violence

*Conclusion*

By examining Marlon James’ *A Brief History of Seven Killings* through the 1960s, I have attempted to show how many of that era’s events politically socialized developing generations in downtown Jamaica. By the 1970s and 80s, violence and political rivalry are depicted as being naturalized in perceptions of political co-existence, geographic values, and positionality in society. There are many important factors shown in *A Brief History* that are responsible for this, including the involvement of the United States, the country’s legacy of colonialism, and Jamaica’s growing position in the globalized world. But I have intentionally limited myself to analyzing the politicization of urban renewal. As James’ shows, the “fall of Balaclava” and its politicized redevelopment marks a turning point that deeply impacts a growing generation’s perceptions of community development. As emphasized in the story of Bam-Bam, reality exists for him within a JLP/PNP framework. The erasure of historical alternatives prevent him from structurally comprehending a safer model of societal engagement, dooming him to perpetual violence. In contrast, downtown Dons and Enforcers who have experienced both Balaclava and Copenhagen City know of the artificiality behind the current situation. Regrettably, Bam-Bam is one of the many exploited by them, and while they gain power he gains nothing. By the time the Dons grow tired of the violence, the politicized spaces allow others to take up their exploitative efforts, continuing the perpetuation of violence in downtown Kingston.
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